



O'ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI OLIY TA'LIM, FAN VA
INNOVATSIYALAR VAZIRLIGI

ALISHER NAVOIY NOMIDAGI TOSHKENT DAVLAT O'ZBEK
TILI VA ADABIYOTI UNIVERSITETI

“ZAHIRIDDIN MUHAMMAD BOBUR MEROSINING SHARQ DAVLATCHILIGI VA MADANIYATI RIVOJIDA TUTGAN O'RNI”

mavzusidagi III xalqaro ilmiy-nazariy va
amaliy anjuman materiallari



2026-yil 12-fevral





**O‘ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI OLIY TA’LIM, FAN VA
INNOVATSIYALAR VAZIRLIGI**

**ALISHER NAVOIY NOMIDAGI TOSHKENT DAVLAT O‘ZBEK TILI
VA ADABIYOTI UNIVERSITETI**

O‘ZBEKISTON YOZUVCHILAR UYUSHMASI

BOBUR NOMLI XALQARO JAMOAT FONDI

**ZAHIRIDDIN MUHAMMAD BOBUR
MEROSINING SHARQ DAVLATCHILIGI VA
MADANIYATI RIVOJIDA TUTGAN O‘RNI**

III xalqaro ilmiy-nazariy va amaliy anjuman materiallari

Toshkent – 2026



CONTEMPORARY ISSUES IN LINGUISTICS AND LITERARY STUDIES: THEORETICAL PARADIGMS, INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACHES, AND METHODOLOGICAL CHALLENGES

Jabborov Baxrom Abdurasulovich

Khujand State University

associate professor

E-mail: j-bahrom@mail.ru

S.S.Sharipova

Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages

teacher

E-mail: shsara232323@gmail.com

Sh.R.Istamova

Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages,

teacher

E-mail: istamovashohista1@gmail.com

DOI: [10.52773/tsuull.conf.2026./XSXQ4014](https://doi.org/10.52773/tsuull.conf.2026./XSXQ4014)

Annotation. *In the early twenty-first century, linguistics and literary studies have undergone profound theoretical, methodological, and epistemological transformations driven by globalization, technological advancement, cultural hybridity, and the expansion of interdisciplinary research paradigms. The traditional boundaries that once clearly separated linguistic inquiry from literary analysis have increasingly dissolved, giving rise to integrated approaches that view language not merely as a structural system but as a dynamic social, cognitive, and cultural phenomenon.*

Key words: *contemporary linguistics, literary studies, cognitive linguistics, discourse analysis, critical discourse analysis, meaning construction, language and culture*

Contemporary linguistics is no longer confined to the analysis of phonological, morphological, or syntactic patterns in isolation; instead, it engages deeply with discourse, pragmatics, sociolinguistics, cognitive science, and digital communication. Similarly, modern literary studies have shifted from purely text-centered interpretations toward broader frameworks that incorporate ideology, identity, power relations, reader response, and intertextuality. This convergence reflects a shared understanding that language and literature function as inseparable modes of human expression, shaped by historical contexts and continuously reinterpreted through social interaction.

One of the central issues in contemporary linguistics is the tension between formalist and functionalist approaches to language. While generative grammar, rooted in the works of Noam Chomsky, continues to influence theoretical



discussions on linguistic competence and universal grammar, increasing attention has been directed toward usage-based models that emphasize language as an emergent system shaped by communicative practice.

Cognitive linguistics, as advanced by scholars such as George Lakoff and Ronald Langacker, challenges abstract rule-based models by foregrounding conceptual metaphors, embodiment, and meaning construction as fundamental components of linguistic structure. These perspectives have significantly impacted literary studies by offering new tools for analyzing figurative language, narrative perspective, and character cognition.

Literary texts are now frequently examined as cognitive artifacts that reflect how human beings conceptualize experience, time, emotion, and social relations through language. This shift has enabled more nuanced interpretations of literary discourse, particularly in the analysis of metaphor, symbolism, and narrative framing.

Another pressing issue concerns the role of sociolinguistics and discourse analysis in understanding language variation and literary representation. Contemporary linguistics increasingly acknowledges that language is inherently variable and socially embedded, influenced by factors such as class, gender, ethnicity, ideology, and power. This understanding has profound implications for literary studies, where language choice and stylistic variation are no longer viewed as purely aesthetic decisions but as markers of identity and social positioning.

Critical discourse analysis, as developed by scholars like Norman Fairclough [3] and Teun van Dijk [7], has provided a methodological framework for examining how texts – both literary and non-literary – reproduce or challenge dominant ideologies. In literary analysis, this approach allows for a deeper exploration of how narratives construct social realities, marginalize certain voices, or resist hegemonic discourses. As a result, contemporary literary criticism increasingly intersects with postcolonial theory, feminist criticism, and cultural studies, all of which rely heavily on linguistic insights to decode power relations embedded in textual structures.

The digitalization of communication has introduced another significant challenge for both linguistics and literary studies. The rise of digital discourse, social media, and multimodal texts has expanded the very notion of what constitutes language and literature. Linguists are now compelled to analyze hybrid forms of communication that combine written, oral, visual, and symbolic elements, often characterized by non-standard grammar, abbreviations, and rapid semantic shifts.

These phenomena challenge traditional models of language normativity and raise important questions about language change, literacy, and linguistic identity. From a literary perspective, digital narratives, hypertext fiction, and interactive storytelling have disrupted conventional understandings of authorship,



linearity, and textual authority. The reader is no longer a passive recipient but an active participant in meaning-making, which aligns with reader-response theory while simultaneously demanding new analytical tools grounded in discourse pragmatics and semiotics.

Equally important is the methodological challenge of maintaining academic rigor in an era of interdisciplinary expansion. While the integration of linguistics and literary studies has enriched both fields, it has also raised concerns regarding methodological coherence and theoretical consistency. Scholars must navigate diverse analytical frameworks without diluting disciplinary specificity.

Corpus linguistics has emerged as a valuable methodological bridge, offering empirical tools for analyzing large bodies of literary texts while maintaining linguistic precision. By examining patterns of lexical frequency, collocation, and stylistic variation, corpus-based literary analysis provides objective evidence to support interpretative claims, thereby addressing long-standing critiques of subjectivity in literary criticism. This methodological convergence exemplifies how contemporary linguistics contributes not only theoretical insights but also practical analytical techniques to literary studies.

In conclusion, the contemporary landscape of linguistics and literary studies is defined by complexity, integration, and continuous theoretical negotiation. The field has moved beyond rigid disciplinary boundaries toward a holistic understanding of language and literature as interdependent systems of meaning shaped by cognitive, social, and cultural forces.

Current debates surrounding theoretical models, discourse and ideology, digital communication, and methodological innovation underscore the dynamic nature of both disciplines. Addressing these issues requires a balanced approach that respects classical linguistic theory while embracing new paradigms responsive to modern communicative realities. Ultimately, the ongoing dialogue between linguistics and literary studies not only deepens our understanding of language and texts but also enhances our ability to interpret human experience in an increasingly interconnected world.

Literature:

1. Gordin Michael D. *Scientific Babel: How Science Was Done Before and After Global English*. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press. 2015. - P. 213–217. ISBN 978-0-226-00029-9
2. Abjalova M. Matnlarga avtoishlov berish tizimlari // VI th International conference on computer processing of Turkic languages TURKLANG – 2018. – Tashkent, 18-20 october, 2018. – S. 243-245.
3. Abjalova M., Sharipova S., Istamova Sh. Sentiment Analysis of Uzbek Idioms. 10th International Conference on Computer Science and Engineering – IEEE-UBMK, 17-19 september, 2025. Istanbul, Turkiye, – pp. 624-629.



4. Abjalova M., Sharipova S. “Semantic and Grammatical Issues in Translating Idioms with Automatic Translation Systems,” 9th International Conference on Computer Science and Engineering (UBMK), 26-28 october, 2024. Antalya, Turkiye, – pp. 64-69.
5. Abjalova M., Rashidova U., Sharipova S. The Importance of Transformational Method in Automatic Idiom Translation. 10th International Conference on Computer Science and Engineering – IEEE-UBMK, 17-19 september, 2025. Istanbul, Turkiye, – pp. 1805-1810.
6. Bloomfield, L. Language. Henry Holt, New York. 1933. – 564 p
7. Bally Ch. Traité de stylistique française. C. Winter, 1909. – 264 p.
8. Cieślak M. The scope and limits of machine translation. eLingUp [Centro de Linguística da Universidade do Porto] Volume 3, Número 1, 2011. - P. 156-174. ISSN 1647-4058
9. Fairclough N. Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language. London: Longman, 1995. – 265 p.
10. Sharipova S. The role of coaching technology in the development of creative thinking and abilities of adults in language learning process. Solution of social problems in management and economy, 2(13), 2023. – P. 5-12.
11. Teun A. Handbook of Discourse Analysis. // 4 vols. London: Academic Press, 1985. – 228 p.